

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JASMIN JETTA TAPIA,

Petitioner,

V.

HOWARD C. BARRON, et al.,

## Respondent.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01531-BJR-BAT

## **ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION AND RE-NOTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Petitioner proceeds *pro se* in this 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas action. On December 4, 2024, this Court granted petitioner's request for an extension of time, to January 5, 2024, to file a response to the United States' Response that her § 2241 habeas petition be denied on the merits, (Dkt. 6) and the United States' subsequent request that the Court either dismiss the matter for lack of jurisdiction or transfer the matter to the federal jurisdiction in which Petitioner is currently detained (Dkt. 9). Dkt. 10. Petitioner did not file a response by the deadline and on January 12, 2024, the Court issued a Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal of the petition without prejudice. Dkt. 12. On January 16, 2024, the Court received a motion from Petitioner seeking a further an extension of time to respond to the United States' Response to her § 2241 habeas petition. Dkt. 13. The United States did not oppose the motion.

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS:

**ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION AND RE-  
NOTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION - 1**

1 (1) Petitioner's motion for a further extension of time (Dkt. 13) is **GRANTED**. On or before  
2 **February 28, 2024**, Petitioner is directed to file: (a) any response to the United States'  
3 Response that her § 2241 habeas petition be denied on the merits (Dkt. 6), (b) any  
4 response to the United States' subsequent request that the Court either dismiss the matter  
5 for lack of jurisdiction or transfer the matter to the federal jurisdiction in which Petitioner  
6 is currently detained (Dkt. 9), and (c) any objection to the Court's Report and  
7 Recommendation (Dkt. 12).

8 (2) The Clerk is directed to re-note the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 12) to **March 1,**  
9 **2024**. If objections to the Report and Recommendation are filed, any response is due  
10 within 14 days of being served with the objections. A party filing an objection must note  
11 the matter for the Court's consideration 14 days from the date the objection is filed and  
12 served. The matter will be ready for the Court's consideration on the date the response is  
13 due.

14 (3) The Clerk is directed to provide a copy of this order to petitioner, counsel for respondent,  
15 and to the Hon. Barbara J. Rothstein.

16  
17 DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.

18  
19   
20 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
21 United States Magistrate Judge  
22  
23